

### Warren Consolidated Schools

Creating Dynamic Futures through Student Achievement, High Expectations, and Strong Relationships

1.888.4WCS.KIDS www.wcskids.net Text WCSKIDS to 57780

#### **Board of Education**

Brian White, President
Elaine G. Martin, Vice President
Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary
Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer
I. Susan Kattula, Trustee
Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee
Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D. Superintendent

#### HATHERLY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

35201 Davison Sterling Heights, MI 48310 586.825.2880

### Hatherly Elementary School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 15, 2014

#### Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Hatherly Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Vera Ivezaj, Principal, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <a href="http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer">http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer</a> or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a "PRIORITY" school for this year.

During the 2013-2014 school year, the Hatherly Elementary staff used the school improvement plan as the driving force behind classroom instruction. The strategies and activities in the plan are reviewed and evaluated quarterly. The School Improvement Committee reviews and analyzes the quarterly progress monitoring data and shares the findings with staff members during monthly after-school staff meetings. According to the 2013-2014 MEAP data, in the area of math achievement, third, fourth and fifth grade students were identified as being at the lowest level of proficiency. According to the math data, 39% of third grade students scored at the proficiency level, and only 25% of fifth grade students scored at the proficiency





level. The data also identifies low levels of student achievement in the area of science. Over the next three years one of our school-wide math goals is to implement quided math to increase student achievement. By implementing a school-wide Multi-Tiered System of Support we will provide academic intervention to all students as needed. The programs and interventions implemented at Hatherly Elementary will allow us additional opportunities to further develop and strengthen parent involvement within the school.

State law requires that we also report the following additional information for the two most recent years:

1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.

- 2. The Hatherly staff continues to focus on student achievement in the areas of reading, writing, and math. Using information from academic assessments such as the MEAP, IOWA, DRA2, classroom assessments, surveys, and selfassessment tools, the team generated specific strategies and activities to facilitate continuous improvement. These strategies and activities will be integrated across the curriculum to foster growth in all four content core areas. The school improvement team monitors and evaluates the effectiveness of the strategies and activities throughout the school year.
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC) is an honors program for 9<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day. It is located at Butcher Community Center.

The Middle School Mathematics Science Technology Center (MS)2TC offers an advanced mathematics, science technology option for middle school students. Students who are accepted into the program will split their day between their home middle school, where they will take their social studies and two elective classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their mathematics, science and English language arts classes.





The Middle School- School of Performing Arts (MS-WCSPA) is an exciting, creative program that has been designed to build on the district's nationally-acclaimed, award-winning high school performing arts program (WCSPA) which is located in Sterling Heights High School. MS-WCSPA is intended for academically-focused and creative students who seek to blend their academic experience with interest in the performing arts. Students who are accepted into the program will split their school day between their home middle school, where they will take their language arts, science, and math classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their social studies and two performing arts electives. The performing arts electives will include visual arts, music, dance, and theatre exploratory experiences.

The Career Preparation Center (CPC) programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

**Community High School** is an alternative education program for high school students. The primary objective of the school is to assist enrolled students in meeting their high school graduation requirements in a timely manner. It is located at the Flynn Educational Center along with the adult **ESL** program.

Year-round education offers many benefits for students and families such as increased student achievement and retention rates, more effective learning environment by reduced time spent reviewing, expanded enrichment and intervention opportunities for students, and expanded educational choice options for parents. Year-round education is offered at Fillmore, Siersma, and Holden Elementary Schools.

- 4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum quidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be accessed at www.wcskids.net.
- 5. The chart below represents the percentage of students who have met or exceeded the DRA2 standard at the end of the school year for the past two years.





	2013-2014	2012-2013
Kindergarten	85%	83%
First	62%	54%
Second	50%	74%
Third	69%	80%
Fourth	67%	85%
Fifth	71%	72%

6. Parent Participation at fall and spring Conferences

YEAR	FALL	SPRING
2012-2013	96%	54%
2013-2014	96%	51%
CHANGE	0%	3%

I am extremely proud of the ongoing work of the students, staff, and parents at Hatherly Elementary School. As we move forward, we will continue to strive for excellence and ensure that all learners will become life-long learners and responsible, contributing citizens in our global community. Through the efforts of all stakeholders at Hatherly, we will guide our students toward reaching their full potential.

Sincerely,

Vera Ivezaj

Principal, Hatherly Elementary School







Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	36.3%	35.1%	39.1%	0%	39.1%	23.2%	37.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	32.6%	39.1%	3.1%	35.9%	20.3%	40.6%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2011-12	14.5%	16.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	18%	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2011-12	62.9%	45.4%	60%	0%	60%	30%	10%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	65.6%	45.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	23%	40.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	25.7%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	42.3%	36.8%	36.7%	0%	36.7%	22.4%	40.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	32.7%	35.3%	3.9%	31.4%	23.5%	41.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2011-12	34.9%	35.2%	53.6%	0%	53.6%	28.6%	17.9%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	31.8%	51.7%	3.4%	48.3%	24.1%	24.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	37.6%	35%	29.3%	0%	29.3%	19.5%	51.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	33.3%	28.6%	2.9%	25.7%	17.1%	54.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	23%	27.2%	34.8%	0%	34.8%	23.9%	41.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	25.1%	29.3%	2.4%	26.8%	19.5%	51.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	21.9%	10.6%	5.3%	0%	5.3%	26.3%	68.4%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	23%	11.4%	12%	0%	12%	16%	72%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	18.5%	10.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	13.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	39.9%	42.2%	35.5%	11.3%	24.2%	16.1%	48.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.1%	34.3%	34.8%	4.5%	30.3%	19.7%	45.5%
Mathematics	4th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	39.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2011-12	15.9%	29.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	20%	23.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2011-12	68.1%	52.6%	50%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	71.4%	39.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	33.3%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	38.6%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2011-12	46.4%	43.2%	29.5%	6.8%	22.7%	11.4%	59.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	53%	35.6%	34.7%	4.1%	30.6%	20.4%	44.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	38.5%	41.5%	27.3%	6.1%	21.2%	18.2%	54.5%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	45.7%	32.5%	37%	3.7%	33.3%	29.6%	33.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	41.2%	43%	44.8%	17.2%	27.6%	13.8%	41.4%
Mathematics Page 2 of 35	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	46.4%	36%	33.3%	5.1% A se	28.2% envice of the Center for	12.8% or Educational Perfor	53.8% mance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	25.3%	30.7%	18.4%	2.6%	15.8%	15.8%	65.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	31.1%	26.9%	25.5%	2.1%	23.4%	19.1%	55.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	20.9%	13.5%	0%	0%	0%	17.6%	82.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	24.4%	10.3%	5%	0%	5%	20%	75%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	18.3%	19.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	21.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	39.6%	34.3%	20.3%	3.8%	16.5%	25.3%	54.4%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	45.7%	32.2%	25.4%	1.6%	23.8%	22.2%	52.4%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2011-12	17%	10.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	20.5%	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2011-12	71.4%	48.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	74.7%	47.5%	54.5%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	27.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	26.2%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	37.2%	26.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	42.7%	29.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2011-12	45.7%	36.4%	19%	3.4%	15.5%	24.1%	56.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	52.4%	32%	18.2%	0%	18.2%	25%	56.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2011-12	37.5%	31.2%	20%	2.9%	17.1%	31.4%	48.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	43.9%	31%	18.2%	0%	18.2%	33.3%	48.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	41.6%	37.2%	20.5%	4.5%	15.9%	20.5%	59.1%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	47.5%	33.3%	33.3%	3.3%	30%	10%	56.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	24.9%	21.7%	8.9%	2.2%	6.7%	15.6%	75.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	30.3%	21.7%	9.8%	0%	9.8%	22%	68.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	21.7%	11.1%	0%	0%	0%	19.2%	80.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	22.9%	8.9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	16%	14.3%	23.5%	0%	23.5%	23.5%	52.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19.9%	11.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	62.4%	58.8%	52.2%	10.1%	42%	33.3%	14.5%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	59.3%	63.5%	9.5%	54%	23.8%	12.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2011-12	38.4%	48.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	44.8%	41.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2011-12	73.7%	62.9%	60%	10%	50%	30%	10%
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	79%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	47.6%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	53.5%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	69.8%	59.9%	46.9%	12.2%	34.7%	34.7%	18.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	60.5%	62.7%	9.8%	52.9%	21.6%	15.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2011-12	65.9%	62.5%	64.3%	21.4%	42.9%	21.4%	14.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	65.4%	69%	20.7%	48.3%	17.2%	13.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	59%	55.2%	43.9%	2.4%	41.5%	41.5%	14.6%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	53.4%	58.8%	0%	58.8%	29.4%	11.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	49.5%	48.7%	41.3%	2.2%	39.1%	41.3%	17.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	52%	55%	5%	50%	27.5%	17.5%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	34.7%	21%	15.8%	0%	15.8%	31.6%	52.6%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	41.5%	28.9%	36%	4%	32%	32%	32%
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	34.3%	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	29.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	67.7%	67.9%	64.5%	3.2%	61.3%	29%	6.5%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.1%	58.9%	57.6%	0%	57.6%	36.4%	6.1%
Reading	4th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	66.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2011-12	45.1%	58.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	43%	47.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2011-12	81%	69.5%	83.3%	0%	83.3%	16.7%	0%
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	79.2%	64.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	57.5%	52.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	66.6%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2011-12	74.4%	69.9%	61.4%	4.5%	56.8%	29.5%	9.1%
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	60.6%	55.1%	0%	55.1%	36.7%	8.2%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	71.7%	73.7%	69.7%	3%	66.7%	24.2%	6.1%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.1%	63.8%	77.8%	0%	77.8%	22.2%	0%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	63.7%	61.9%	58.6%	3.4%	55.2%	34.5%	6.9%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.1%	54.2%	43.6%	0%	43.6%	46.2%	10.3%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	55%	58.8%	50%	2.6%	47.4%	44.7%	5.3%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.1%	49%	53.2%	0%	53.2%	40.4%	6.4%



### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	38.8%	33.5%	23.5%	0%	23.5%	64.7%	11.8%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.1%	20.5%	25%	0%	25%	60%	15%
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	35%	39.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	38.3%	38.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	68.8%	67.1%	59.5%	10.1%	49.4%	19%	21.5%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	70.4%	60.3%	57.1%	7.9%	49.2%	22.2%	20.6%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2011-12	48.3%	53.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.8%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2011-12	81.1%	75.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	81.5%	68.7%	63.6%	9.1%	54.5%	18.2%	18.2%
Reading	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	57%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	68.7%	62.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	70.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2011-12	74.8%	68.2%	53.4%	5.2%	48.3%	19%	27.6%
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	76.9%	60.5%	54.5%	9.1%	45.5%	22.7%	22.7%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2011-12	71.7%	68.4%	68.6%	8.6%	60%	17.1%	14.3%

Page 7 of 35



Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
5th Grade	Female	2012-13	74.1%	67.4%	60.6%	6.1%	54.5%	21.2%	18.2%
5th Grade	Male	2011-12	65.9%	65.8%	52.3%	11.4%	40.9%	20.5%	27.3%
5th Grade	Male	2012-13	66.8%	53.3%	53.3%	10%	43.3%	23.3%	23.3%
5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	56.1%	55.4%	44.4%	8.9%	35.6%	28.9%	26.7%
5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.9%	52.2%	43.9%	2.4%	41.5%	29.3%	26.8%
5th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	36.9%	20.9%	19.2%	0%	19.2%	30.8%	50%
5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	36.3%	24.6%	20%	0%	20%	30%	50%
5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	34.2%	31.4%	58.8%	5.9%	52.9%	17.6%	23.5%
5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	36.6%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	15.3%	11.3%	10%	5%	5%	22.5%	67.5%
5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	13.1%	11.9%	15.2%	6.1%	9.1%	21.2%	63.6%
5th Grade	African American	2011-12	3.2%	3.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
5th Grade	African American	2012-13	2.6%	3.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
5th Grade	Asian	2011-12	28.9%	12.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	26.6%	20.8%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%
5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	6.2%	13.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	5th Grade	5th Grade Female  5th Grade Male  5th Grade Male  5th Grade Economically Disadvantaged  5th Grade Economically Disadvantaged  5th Grade English Language Learners  5th Grade Students With Disabilities  5th Grade All Students  5th Grade All Students  5th Grade African American  5th Grade Asian  5th Grade Asian  5th Grade Asian	5th Grade Female 2012-13  5th Grade Male 2011-12  5th Grade Male 2012-13  5th Grade Economically Disadvantaged  5th Grade English Language Learners  5th Grade Students With Disabilities  5th Grade Students With Disabilities  5th Grade All Students 2012-13  5th Grade African American 2011-12  5th Grade Asian 2012-13  5th Grade Asian 2011-12  5th Grade Asian 2011-12  5th Grade Asian 2011-12	5th Grade         Female         2012-13         74.1%           5th Grade         Male         2011-12         65.9%           5th Grade         Male         2012-13         66.8%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2011-12         56.1%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2012-13         57.9%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2011-12         36.9%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2012-13         36.3%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2011-12         34.2%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2012-13         36.6%           5th Grade         All Students         2011-12         15.3%           5th Grade         All Students         2011-12         15.3%           5th Grade         African American         2012-13         13.1%           5th Grade         African American         2011-12         3.2%           5th Grade         Asian         2011-12         28.9%           5th Grade         Hispanic of Any         2011-12         6.2%	Students Proficient         Students Proficient           5th Grade         Female         2012-13         74.1%         67.4%           5th Grade         Male         2011-12         65.9%         65.8%           5th Grade         Male         2012-13         66.8%         53.3%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2011-12         56.1%         55.4%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2012-13         57.9%         52.2%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2011-12         36.9%         20.9%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2012-13         36.3%         24.6%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2011-12         34.2%         31.4%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2012-13         36.6%         33.3%           5th Grade         All Students         2011-12         15.3%         11.3%           5th Grade         All Students         2012-13         13.1%         11.9%           5th Grade         African American         2012-13         2.6%         3.1%           5th Grade         Asian         2012-13         26.6%         20.8%<	Students Proficient         Students Proficient         Students Proficient         Students Proficient           5th Grade         Female         2012-13         74.1%         67.4%         60.6%           5th Grade         Male         2011-12         65.9%         65.8%         52.3%           5th Grade         Male         2012-13         66.8%         53.3%         53.3%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2011-12         56.1%         55.4%         44.4%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2012-13         57.9%         52.2%         43.9%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2011-12         36.9%         20.9%         19.2%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2012-13         36.3%         24.6%         20%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2011-12         34.2%         31.4%         58.8%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2012-13         36.6%         33.3%         <10	Students Proficient         Students Proficient         Students Proficient         Students Proficient         Clevel 1)           5th Grade         Female         2012-13         74.1%         67.4%         60.6%         6.1%           5th Grade         Male         2011-12         65.9%         65.8%         52.3%         11.4%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2011-12         56.1%         55.4%         44.4%         8.9%           5th Grade         Economically Disadvantaged         2012-13         57.9%         52.2%         43.9%         2.4%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2011-12         36.9%         20.9%         19.2%         0%           5th Grade         English Language Learners         2012-13         36.3%         24.6%         20%         0%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2011-12         34.2%         31.4%         58.8%         5.9%           5th Grade         Students With Disabilities         2012-13         36.6%         33.3%         <10	Students   Proficient   Profi	Students   Proficient   Profi



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	14.2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	12.2%	12.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2011-12	18.9%	12.6%	10.2%	5.1%	5.1%	20.3%	69.5%
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	16%	12.3%	10.9%	6.5%	4.3%	19.6%	69.6%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2011-12	13.6%	9.7%	5.7%	2.9%	2.9%	20%	74.3%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	11.6%	10.8%	8.8%	5.9%	2.9%	29.4%	61.8%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	17%	12.8%	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	24.4%	62.2%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	14.5%	12.9%	21.9%	6.3%	15.6%	12.5%	65.6%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	7%	5.2%	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	87%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	5.8%	5.9%	4.5%	2.3%	2.3%	15.9%	79.5%
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	2.5%	1.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	1.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	5.5%	5.8%	27.8%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	55.6%
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	4.1%	3.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------------	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	56.4%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	63.7%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	65.2%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	68.3%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	58.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	62.6%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	62.4%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	55.1%	60.9%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	33.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	57.5%	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2011-12	49%	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2011-12	61%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	53.4%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	58.9%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.9%	56.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	54.6%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	52.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	54.7%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	59.9%	50%	<10	<10 A service of the Cent	<10 er for Educational Perfo	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	58.5%	48.6%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	66.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.4%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2011-12	67.5%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	64%	40.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	55.1%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	64%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	60.4%	45.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	59.3%	42.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.7%	52%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	66.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	57.1%	41.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	42.1%	58.3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	39.3%	32%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	34.2%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	42.3%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	42.8%	38.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	41.5%	22.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	40.8%	55.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	38.3%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	34.6%	22.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	45.8%	36.4%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	33.3%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.3%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2011-12	39.7%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2011-12	50.6%	36.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	46.6%	16.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	47.6%	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	44%	29%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	44.5%	35%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	39.2%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.3%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	55%	61.9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	59.8%	56.1%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	33.3%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	50.4%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2011-12	61.1%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	63.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	64.2%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	56.8%	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.4%	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	52.8%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	58%	61.3%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	33.3%
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	48%	53.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10



### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	72%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	75.5%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	75.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	79.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	73.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	72.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	74%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	72.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	81.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	79.4%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	72.5%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	75.1%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2011-12	84%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	80.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2011-12	75.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics Page 15 of 35	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	82%	<10	<10	<10 A service of the Cente	<10 er for Educational Perfo	<10 rmance and Information



### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	81.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	72.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	75.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	83.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	79.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	74.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	86.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	69.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	69.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	45.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2011-12	73.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2011-12	82.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2011-12	49.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	72.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	80.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science Page 16 of 35	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	47.6%	<10	<10	<10 A service of the Cente	<10 st for Educational Perfor	<10 mance and Information



#### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient		School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	71.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	81.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	89.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	82.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	87.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	White	2012-13	82.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	88.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	80.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	84.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	96.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	82.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### **MI-Access Participation**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	8%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	District	Mathematics	99.9%	41.6%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.9%	67%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99.1%	55.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.4%	46.3%
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.7%	46.1%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.8%	31.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	99.1%	39%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	55.7%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	5.1%
American Indian	School	Mathematics		
African American	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian	School	Mathematics	100%	77.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	100%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	47.7%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	101.5%	33.3%
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	100%	39.5%
All Students	District	Reading	99.8%	80.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	38.2%
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30
African American	District	Reading	99.9%	72.2%
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	99.1%	85.2%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30



Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
District	Reading	99.4%	83.1%
District	Reading	99.8%	81.3%
District	Reading	99.8%	75.3%
District	Reading	99.9%	57.4%
District	Reading	99.2%	58.2%
School	Reading	100%	80.2%
School	Reading	0%	36.7%
School	Reading		
School	Reading	<30	<30
School	Reading	100%	91.7%
School	Reading	<30	<30
School	Reading	<30	<30
School	Reading	100%	76.5%
School	Reading	100%	75.3%
School	Reading	101.5%	60.9%
School	Reading	100%	68.4%
District	Science	99.5%	31.7%
District	Science	0%	0.7%
District	Science	<30	<30
District	Science	99.8%	17.5%
District	Science	100%	42.5%
District	Science	97.9%	30.8%
District	Science	<30	<30
District	Science	98.6%	26.4%
District	Science	99.4%	33.1%
District	Science	99.5%	20.5%
District	Science	99.5%	4.5%
District	Science	98.8%	18.8%
School	Science	100%	28.3%
School	Science	<30	<30
	District District District District District District School District	District Reading School Reading District Science	Location         Subject         % Tested Total(Goal 95%)           District         Reading         99.4%           District         Reading         99.8%           District         Reading         99.9%           District         Reading         99.2%           School         Reading         100%           School         Reading         0%           School         Reading         430           School         Reading         430           School         Reading         430           School         Reading         430           School         Reading         100%           School



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Asian	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	100%	23.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	100%	15%
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.6%	50.4%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	99.8%	37.1%
Asian	District	Social Studies	100%	61.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	41.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	100%	41.5%
White	District	Social Studies	99.6%	51.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.6%	39.4%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.6%	11.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	99.7%	16.9%
All Students	School	Social Studies	0%	27.6%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Asian	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	0%	23.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	0%	15.2%
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	District	Writing	99.3%	69.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	4.6%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
African American	District	Writing	100%	59.7%
Asian	District	Writing	99.4%	78.2%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	68.6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	63.8%
White	District	Writing	99.1%	69.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	99.1%	61.9%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	97.1%	31.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	98.9%	34.1%
All Students	School	Writing	100%	74.2%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	<30	<30
American Indian	School	Writing		
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	100%	66.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	100%	71.1%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



### **Accountability Details Graduation Data**

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	76.2%
American Indian	Statewide	66.4%
African American	Statewide	59.9%
Asian	Statewide	87.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	64.3%
Migrant	Statewide	68.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	73.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.5%
White	Statewide	81.5%
Female	Statewide	80.8%
Male	Statewide	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	64%
English Language Learners	Statewide	63.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.5%
Homeless	Statewide	53.8%
All Students	District	77.5%
African American	District	66.2%
Asian	District	79.6%
White	District	79.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	71.4%
English Language Learners	District	51%
Students With Disabilities	District	58.1%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### **Accountability Details Attendance Data**

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	96%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### **Accountability Status District Data**

District Name	•			Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score		Science Score	Social Studies Status		Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	---	--	--	------------------	-------------	------------	--	------------------	-----------------------------	--	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



#### **Accountability Status School Data**

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score		Overall Score
Warren Consolidate d Schools	Hatherly Elementary School	Focus School	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Yellow	44



### **Teacher Quality - Qualification**

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		2	24	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### **Teacher Quality - Class**

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### **Teacher Quality - Provisional**

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



#### **NAEP Grade 4 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### **NAEP Grade 8 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### **NAEP Grade 12 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### **NAEP Grade 4 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



#### **NAEP Grade 8 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



#### **NAEP Grade 12 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



### **NAEP Participation Data**

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading				5.2 4.0